## Town of Greenville, New Hampshire 1 **Planning Board** 2 **Minutes** 3 Thursday, April 14, 2016 4 5 6 The Greenville Planning Board met on Thursday, April 14, 2016 in the Town Hall, 46 Main Street. 7 Greenville, NH 03048. 8 9 In attendance were Ted de Winter, Chairman; Scott Tenney, Vice Chairman; Ed White; Steve Spratt, BOS 10 Ex-Officio. 11 12 Chairman de Winter called the meeting to order at 7:04 pm. 13 14 Planning Board Secretary – Wage Discussion 15 16 Chairman de Winter questioned whether members received and read the letter from the Board of Selectmen, dated March 30, 2016, disapproving of the monthly stipend voted, and approved by, the 17 18 Planning Board at their March 24, 2016 meeting. Members stated "yes". Based on that letter, 19 Chairman de Winter requested that S. Spratt have a discussion with the Board of Selectmen clarifying their decision at their next meeting. S. Spratt stated that the Selectmen will be meeting on Monday 20 night and he will bring the subject up. The Board considered the vote taken on March 24, 2016 to be 21 "null and void" until further notice. 22 23 24 **Minutes** 25 The minutes of December 17, 2015 were approved as written. The minutes of March 24, 2016 were 26 27 tabled until the next meeting in April. 28 29

<u>Town of Greenville Master Plan Update – Lisa Murphy, Southwest Region Planning Commission</u>

30 31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39 40

41

Ms. Murphy began discussion by stating that back in December, the Board reviewed the Population and Housing and Land Use chapters and the changes were made verbatim with everything the Board wanted to see. She felt those two chapters were now complete.

She said she would like to move onto the Economic and Development plan which was partially reviewed and she passed out a draft copy for the Board to review. She said she has added more information and would like tonight's focus to be on this section. S. Tenney agreed as he said that this section will have a big impact to the Town in general.

Ms. Murphy stated that she anticipates that the Board may have some changes as there is a lot of data incorporated into this section. Ms. Murphy stated that the information on the tables did not come

from the 2010 census because the census was a short form and did not have the employment and income information available.

Chairman de Winter stated that for many years, even when he was a Selectman, they had an inventory and residents were required to list how many people resided in the house. He said he thinks the Town has stopped doing that. He said he wasn't sure how accurate it was but thought it was at least timely information. Ms. Murphy felt that this was accurate information and was included on the 2010 census. She said population was mostly the focus for the census.

 Discussion followed regarding the section on the largest employers in Greenville and discussed the businesses listed with the number of employees they have. Chairman de Winter questioned whether the companies provided this information listed as number of employees. Ms. Murphy said "no" it was by the New Hampshire Employment Security so that is how they are grouped.

 Ms. Murphy moved onto the Greenville Employed Civilian Population by Occupational Sector (2014) section and stated that this section is broken down into groups. She stated that she didn't particularly like the groups because they are too broad. Chairman de Winter stated that the jobs listed on Page 3 are not necessarily Greenville jobs but jobs for Greenville residents. Ms. Murphy stated "yes".

Ms. Murphy stated that this Chapter is providing a profile of the economy both of the Greenville employees and of the people who work in Greenville.

Chairman de Winter had a question on Page 4 – Income Levels – Local, County and State. He said the capita income is ambiguous and said Ms. Murphy has listed the median household income and then the median family income. He questioned why the household income was smaller than the family. Ms. Murphy stated that it was grouped that way to show a better picture of Greenville vs. the surrounding towns. She said to do the comparison for the county and the state provides better information.

Ms. Murphy stated that the information provided in a Master Plan is not just for the Planning Board's benefit to make decisions but to keep all of the data for any users in town. She said typically income levels are usually something the Planning Board is not concerned with in terms of decisions to be made.

Chairman de Winter questioned that for the most part unemployment compares favorably with the US average. Ms. Murphy agreed.

Ms. Murphy moved onto Page 5 – Educational Attainment. She said she was able to obtain the comparison of the 2000 and the 2010 data made available.

 Ms. Murphy moved onto the Average Annual Unemployment Rate by Labor Market Area (LMA). Chairman de Winter questioned whether that information was based on the State on unemployment applications? Ms. Murphy wasn't sure about that. Chairman de Winter stated that unless an individual came to Town Hall for welfare benefits, the town would not have that information. Ms.

Murphy stated that this was from the US Labor Statistics. E. White stated that once benefits are used up, an individual is no longer a statistic – the data falls off.

S. Tenney referenced Page 6 – Property Valuation Statistics and asked if that was all property within the town excluding or including town-owned property. Ms. Murphy replied "including". S. Tenney stated that would include utility lines and easements too.

The Board briefly reviewed the sections on the Greenville Tax Trends, Tax Rate Comparisons and the Regional Community Activity to Primary Jobs tables.

Ms. Murphy moved onto Page 11 – Municipal Policy Analysis and onto the Greenville section. She said that was the most current she could find online. S. Tenney stated that he uploaded that and it was the most current edition.

Discussion followed regarding the minimum lot size and minimum lot frontage. Chairman de Winter questioned whether they were "grandfathered" or were they actually statutory now. S. Tenney stated that the reason was because the Commercial District overlays into another portion.

Chairman de Winter questioned whether Ms. Murphy could find a category to put the residential zones that he doesn't see. Ms. Murphy stated that this table was just for the business district.

Ms. Murphy referenced the Land Use Plan and stated that is where the business schedule is and has all of the zones.

Discussion followed regarding gathering information from various other Boards and departments, i.e. sewer and water, police and fire, conservation, etc. Ms. Murphy stated that she is beginning to work on the chapter involving the Community Facilities and Utilities. E. White thought it would be a good idea to "cut and paste" the data concerning that area and email it to the departments for updates. Deb will email Kelley and get the contact information for Marshall Buttrick and send to Ms. Murphy. Ms. Murphy will reach out to the various departments and once data is received, she then cut and paste the data received back into the departments.

Ms. Murphy ensured the Board that if new data comes in she would include this in any and all of the tables. Chairman de Winter questioned whether the Board should schedule another appointment with Ms. Murphy. S. Tenney felt the Board should continue to review the chapters she has presented and schedule a future date for Ms. Murphy to come back. Members agreed. Ms. Murphy agreed as well as it would continue to keep the budget small. S. Tenney asked the Board if holding a work session on April 28<sup>th</sup> would be acceptable to work on the review for Ms. Murphy so it may be emailed to her as soon as possible in order for her to continue working on the specific chapters. Members agreed.

## 2016 Budget

The Board reviewed the 2016 budget by line item and the remaining funds available to budget for the Planning Board secretary and other expenditures related to the operation of the Planning Board.

Rules of Proce	duros			
Nules of Floce	<u>aures</u>			
The Board tab	ed discussion to give E.	. White an o	pportunity to	review the document.
Thursday, Apri	l 28, 2016 Work Session	<u>n Pre</u> liminar	y Agenda	
1 Approv	al of Minutes – March	24 2016 & 7	April 14 2016	
	Plan Follow Up Discuss	-	Apin 14, 2010	
3. Rules of Procedures				
J. Maics C	1110ccuares			
Adjournment				
S. Spratt motic	ned to adjourn at 8:30	nm. S. Tenn	nev 2 <sup>nd</sup> VOTE	· All in favor
	new to dajourn de 5.50	pin, s. rem	.c, 2 . voit.	All III IBVOI.
Next Meetina:	Thursday, April 28, 20	016 at 7:00 i	nm (Wark Sess	sion)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , ,	( <b></b>	,,,,,,
Respectfully Su	ıbmitted.			
Debra A. Butch	-			
	· <del>- ·</del>			
Approved By:				
• •	ANNING BOARD			
Ted de Winter	Chairman			
	·			
	5	) _		
Scott Tenney.	Vice Charman			
out renney,	rice endergon			
_Vaca	n <del>)</del>			
Edward White	<del></del>			
Steve Spratt, B	OS Ex-Officio			
orare opiute, u	OU DA OTHER			
Jonathan Boule				
	- 7			

173