Town of Greenville, New Hampshire Planning Board Work Session Minutes Thursday, December 17, 2015

The Greenville Planning Board met on **Thursday, December 17, 2015 at 7:00 pm** in the Town Hall, 46 Main Street, Greenville, NH 03048.

In attendance were Ted de Winter, Chairman; Scott Tenney, Vice Chairman; Edward White & Douglas Reardon, Ex-Officio.

Chairman de Winter called the meeting to order at 7:00 pm.

<u>Master Plan Work session - Lisa Murphy, Southwest Region Planning Commission - Review draft chapters of Master Plan</u>

 Members of the Greenville Planning Board introduced themselves to Ms. Murphy. Ms. Murphy questioned whether everyone had received a copy of the plan and indicated she will now be sending future copies directly to members via email.

Ms. Murphy directed members to the front cover photo and asked if members were happy with the photo she chose. Members were in agreement to use this. She said the plan is in a rough draft and has not been edited since she needs input from the Board. She said she wants to develop a good document, not only for the Planning Board members, but for anyone who reads it and needs information about the town.

 Chairman de Winter stated that he understood that the Planning Board is mandated by law to update this document periodically. He requested some general background on it. He said he knows of officials in other towns who regularly refer back to their Master Plan and he wasn't sure how necessary that was. He said during his long tenure as a public official, there has never been an incident where he needed to consult this document. Ms. Murphy strongly encouraged members to reference the Master Plan when considering projects within the town that may be controversial and require a Board vote.

Ms. Murphy stated that the town has budgeted for only 4 meetings to update the Master Plan, however, she felt that this would be tight and she was willing to attend more if necessary. Chairman de Winter requested that Ms. Murphy walk the Board through the questions.

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT

Ms. Murphy asked about the residential activity in the town. She said she knew that there has been a slowdown in applications. Chairman de Winter stated that over the past 10 years, he estimated that over 125 building permits have been approved, however, the number of structures actually been built

could be counted on one hand. He referenced Hemlock Hills as an example where there has only been 3 buildings built out of a total of 72 building sites.

Chairman de Winter referenced the status of Butternut Hill where 40 lots, all single family sites, were approved but nothing has been built. He said it was approved as a cluster development with a portion of land set aside for open space. He said that they have regularly come back to the Board to revalidate or update their subdivision approval and, other than these, the other significant development is by the orchard area off Rt. 123. That was about it for residential activity because it appears there is a lack of demand which is not unique to Greenville as we are not recognized as a bedroom community for the area.

Ms. Murphy asked about whether the Butternut Hill subdivision was multi-family and was told by the Board members that it was all single family homes in a cluster subdivision. She also asked the Board if they had seen requests for in-law or accessory use apartments. Chairman de Winter indicated that they haven't but just seem to appear illegally. Ms. Murphy also asked about requests for mobile homes – D. Reardon stated that he thought the number of mobile homes has decreased.

Chairman de Winter stated that as far as changes in ordinances in the residential area, that in the last 10 years, we designated a downtown district that has an impact on what you can and cannot do. There has been a change in lot size because people on town water and sewer can now build on 1 acre parcels instead of 2.

S. Tenney commented on new restrictions stating building codes were updated when the state made changes in 2009. There haven't been any changes to the storm water and sewer restrictions. Just general operating costs have gone up. Chairman de Winter stated that the town feels it has excess capacity with regard to water and sewer. The approved Hemlock Hills subdivision was originally going to be private well and septic but is now going to tie into town water and sewer. The Board confirmed for Ms. Murphy that the town had sufficient capacity at the waste water treatment plant for more development.

Ms. Murphy asked the Board to point out on the map where the approved subdivisions are located. Chairman de Winter stated that at one point in time the town had a bit of a phobia about new residential development because of the impact on Greenville's school commitment would have on taxes.

D. Reardon mentioned that the town doesn't have sprinkler requirements.

INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT

Chairman de Winter stated that the oldest in town was the Pilgrim Foods plant. He said the town had an Industrial Development Commission and the tax rate was an obstacle to attracting businesses, but that has changed with a lower tax rate and there has been new development along Rt. 31 including a proposed demolition material recycling facility.

There was some discussion about whether Pilgrim Foods or G. W. Shaw was the largest employer in town.

Ms. Murphy asked if there were any deterrents to location new industrial businesses in town. Chairman de Winter stated there has been steady development along Rt. 31. S. Tenney added that Haffner's has been developed over the last 5 years. Ms. Murphy asked if there had been any zoning changes that hurt or helped industrial development. Chairman de Winter stated that have been some complaints from residents regarding noise and traffic since the town created the commercial/industrial zone and that residential zoning now requires a 400 foot setback. S. Tenney added that the town hasn't adopted any new zoning to deter development for businesses.

COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT

 S. Tenney stated that Haffner's and Dunkin' Donuts were the major commercial expansions. Ms. Murphy asked if the downtown zone had attracted new business. Chairman de Winter said that parking downtown is limited and is a potential issue as well as a winter parking restriction. Ms. Murphy asked if they get complaints from businesses regarding sign restrictions. S. Tenney indicated the only issue he can recall over the last 7 years was a downtown business installing a backlit sign.

Ms. Murphy asked if there are any changes being proposed for the upcoming town meeting to change commercial or industrial zoning to encourage businesses development. Board stated there were none. E. White felt the town was being overrun with recycling businesses. S. Tenney stated the town needs

more businesses that create jobs and many existing ones only have a handful of employees.

NON-PROFITS & PUBLIC/SEMI-PUBLIC USES

The Board stated that it was on churches that fell into that category.

ROADS & HIGHWAYS

No significant roads have been added. E. White stated that road standards may need to be upgraded.

AGRICULTURAL LAND

The Board stated there is no significant farming going on in town.

CONSERVATION LAND

Ms. Murphy asked if there had been any push to add conservation land. The Board stated that other than the voluntary offer of the one cluster development already discussed that there hadn't been any.

1__

Chairman de Winter felt that there was a lot of land in current use. Ms. Murphy agreed and stated there is a lot of land in conservation and in current use - it was something like 59%. Chairman de

Winter found that amusing as he said that Greenville is either the smallest or one of the smallest towns in New Hampshire.

The discussion moved to focus on the draft review document. She said she took some excerpts from Greenville's existing Master Plan for consistency as well as additional ideas to show data and the trends. She said she uses the census for this document to show income and housing data. She said that most of the hard facts are about population and not about the houses. She said that with small towns, it usually only shows surveys.

Chairman de Winter stated that another factor about the lack of information is that the town is no longer doing inventories. He said he wasn't sure everyone accurately reports their data but he agreed that some data is better than no data.

Discussion followed regarding maps and areas of the town and current land use and the Board provided corrections to inaccuracies.

Ms. Murphy questioned whether the Board wished to add any zoning amendments or adoptions to this document. Ms. Murphy stated that she can incorporate them into the document as the Board moved forward. S. Tenney thought at this time there wasn't anything pertinent to put in there. He said they haven't updated their zoning ordinances on a regular basis and what zoning ordinances that are in place now have been in existence for some time.

Discussion followed regarding other regulations that are place i.e. driveway regulations, earth excavation regulations or aquifer protection regulations. Chairman de Winter said that they would all be warrant articles if adopted – D. Reardon stated yes.

Ms. Murphy continued to the Development Constraints Map. She said that this is always a busy map and very hard to read. Discussion continued regarding which segments of the population was growing the fastest – Ms. Murphy provided trends in that area.

Discussion followed regarding how to best represent the data in a plan that illustrates the different segments of housing, population, industrial & commercial zones in the town. She offered to incorporate percentages by using a pie chart if that works better for the Board instead of using hard numbers. The Board members agreed that would work better. S. Tenney thought using a visual such as a pie chart was much more beneficial to illustrate the data.

Ms. Murphy stated that the remaining chapters will be much lighter on the charts. She said the economic development chapter was one that the Board needed the most. Members agreed. She recapped what the members wanted to see as a first draft.

S. Tenney said this document will assist the Board on which direction they want this town to go. He said it would give both the Selectmen and the Planning Board a good direction. D. Reardon stated that he has lived in town over 30 years and he has been enlightened by this document.

1.__

Chairman de Winter stated he would welcome some goals and objectives to understand this document better and whether the Board wanted to cut population or increase it, do we want to make people richer or more employment in town. He asked for more clarification with the document.

Ms. Murphy stated that when it comes down to implementation, some of the issues may not be the under the prevue of the Planning Board – it could be another Board in town. She said this will be a guiding document for the town. She said it will have no regulatory authority – only guidance. She said it will be helpful if the town wanted to pursue grants as well because that is what the State and Federal agencies will look for as identified in the town's Master Plan.

2016 Greenville Planning Board Meeting Schedule

S. Tenney stated that both he and Deb created a meeting schedule to follow which provides due dates for complete Planning Board applications. The schedule shows meetings to be held on the second Thursday of each month with a second meeting on the fourth Thursday if needed for continuances of public hearings or for just a work session. He passed out copies of the schedule for the Board members. The Board thought this was a great schedule to follow.

<u>Adjournment</u>

The meeting adjourned at 9:10 pm.

Next Meeting: Thursday, January 14, 2016 at 7:00 pm.

1.__

Respectfully Submitted,

197 Debra A. Butcher

Approved by:

PLANNING BOARD:

Ted de Winter, Chairman

Scott Tenney Mee Chairman

Jonathan Bouley

Edward White

21⁻

Douglas A. Reardon, Ex-Officio